



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,085	11/26/2003	Joseph S. Glider	ARC920030081US1	7870

7590 06/23/2009
Frederick W. Gibb, III
McGinn & Gibb, PLLC
Suite 304
2568-A Riva Road
Annapolis, MD 21401

EXAMINER

WEI, ZHENG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2192

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/23/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: JOSEPH S. GLIDER and ARCHANA S. SAMTANI

Application No. 10/723,085
Technology Center 2100

Mailed: June 22, 2009

Before Deborah L. PERRY, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist, Review Team*
PERRY, *Supervisory Paralegal Specialist, Review Team*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on March 24, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter requiring attention prior to docketing.

APPEAL BRIEF, GROUNDS OF REJECTION

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief filed August 4, 2008 under the heading

“Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal” is unclear and/or is not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims of record. The grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Each Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified.

A review of the last Office action, including any mailed Advisory Action(s) finds that claims **2-5**, **8-11**, and **16-19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112; whereas Appellant(s) have not indicated the grounds of rejection of these claims. Correction of the Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal for all claims is required.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to hold the Appeal Brief filed on August 4, 2008 defective; and
- 2) to notify Appellant to file a paper properly addressing the Grounds of rejection of all claims;

DLP/klh

FREDERICK W. GIBB, III
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC
SUITE 304
2568-A RIVA ROAD
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401